

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
FUNAMBOL INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. [4:16-cv-02026-HSG](#) (KAW)

**ORDER REGARDING STIPULATED
PROTECTIVE ORDER**


Re: Dkt. No. 95

On April 7, 2017, the parties filed a stipulated protective order. They did not, however, indicate whether they were using a model protective order or a modified protective order as required by the Court's Standing Order. (*See* Judge Westmore's General Standing Order ¶ 11.) They submitted a supporting declaration on April 21, 2017, but never furnished a chambers copy to the undersigned.

Notwithstanding, upon review of the stipulated protective order, the parties again improperly imbedded a discovery dispute, this time pertaining to judicial intervention, in the body of the stipulation. (Dkt. No. 95 at 8-11.) Unlike the previously-filed ESI "stipulation," in which the Court ordered the parties to meet and confer and submit a joint letter, here, the Court ORDERS the parties to adopt the Model Order's language pertaining to judicial intervention and file an amended stipulated protective order within 7 days. (*See* Model Protective Order for Litigation Involving Patents, Highly Sensitive Confidential Information and/or Trade Secrets ¶ 6.3.)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 28, 2017


KANDIS A. WESTMORE
United States Magistrate Judge